Species Distribution Modeling Using Acoustic Tag Detections

Chuck Bangley Dalhousie University







Disclaimer



Overview

- Species distribution model basics
- Setting up acoustic telemetry data for SDMs
- Getting and using environmental data
- Case Study: Dusky sharks off the US Mid-Atlantic region
- Case Study: Setting up an SDM in Minas Passage



What is a species distribution model?

- Predictive model of the probability of a species being present
 - Based on relationships between species and environmental conditions
 - "Fish forecasting"



Usually proceeds in two main steps:

Step 1 – Model training

- Establish relationships between species presence/absence/abundance and environmental conditions
 - In what conditions is the species more or less likely to be there?
- Data required:
 - Records of species presence/absence/abundance
 - Environmental data that can be matched to species records



Step 1 – Model training

- This is where the majority of the stats happen
- A *lot* of options choice depends on data quality and availability and research objectives
 - Linear methods generalized liner models (including mixed)
 - Relatively simple and straightforward
 - Additive methods generalized additive models (also including mixed)
 - Handles non-linear relationships
 - Machine-learning methods boosted regression trees, random forest
 - Handles complex relationships and data distributions, but can be demanding of computer resources and tricky to set up and interpret

Step 1 – Model training

- Sometimes you can stop here
- Species/environmental relationships might be all you need



Step 2 – Prediction

- Now that you know the species/environment relationships, calculate presence probability given a set of environmental data
- Usually mapped grid of environmental variables covering area of interest
- Spatial scale and resolution are important considerations
 - Mostly determined by data availability and research objectives



What is your response variable?

- Binary presence absence (0, 1)
- Abundance (can include absence)
- Temporal resolution (presence/absence or # of animals per time period)
 - Important for matching environmental data, so think about it early



What is your response variable?

- Binary presence absence
 - An acoustic tag detection is an obvious presence record
 - Absence can be trickier
 - Assuming non-detection = absence may not be realistic
 - Detection range vs. environment are you picking up 100 % of tagged animals?
 - Behavioral consistency are the animals animals you tagged acting like the rest of the population usually does?
 - Can be accounted for in field study design

What is your response variable?

- Abundance and other metrics
 - Abundance
 - Number of individuals
 - Number of tag detections
 - Other metrics that could be treated as abundance
 - Detection events
 - Residence time



Addressing tag detection assumptions

- Range testing DO IT
 - Account for as much environmental variability as possible
 - Use a variety of methods
 - Stationary tags and floating drifters
 - Stationary tags at varying distances
 - Receivers that can hear each other
 - Tag detection efficiency (actual detections/known tag transmissions) also tells you about the probability of a tagged fish passing by a receiver undetected (false absence)





Addressing environmental assumptions

- Receiver deployment strategy
 - Don't need to catch everything, just enough to get an idea of the species/environment relationships
 - Cover a wide variety of habitat types and environmental conditions
 - Including places/conditions you *don't* expect the species to be
 - If you're accounting for false absences, then zeroes are real (and important!) data





Addressing animal behavior assumptions

- Vary tagging times and locations
 - Avoids putting all tags out on the same subpopulation (unless that's your research goal)
- Follow-up tagging
 - Are more recently-tagged animals showing the same behavior and/or environmental associations as older ones?
- Hit the literature
 - Have behaviors and environmental associations been documented elsewhere?



- Crucial for model training
- Sensors carried by animals
- Sensors at receiver sites/in area of interest
 - Nice variety of sensors available, some built into receivers
 - Have an idea which environmental variables are of interest
 - Cover as much environmental variability as possible
 - Don't need a sensor in every habitat area, but try to represent every habitat type



Heupel et al. (2006)

- Environmental data you didn't collect
 - Variety of publicly-available point-collected, remotely-sensed/satellite, and modeled data
 - Consider spatial and temporal coverage and resolution, scale, proximity to receiver sites
 - Local coverage may vary even for large-scale satellite data



- Doing the matching
 - Remember when you thought about temporal resolution?
 - Presence/absence (and abundance if zeroes are included):
 - Time intervals determined by data availability and research goals
 - Hourly presence/absence or # animals per hour
 - Make sure to only include times the receiver was actually in the water!



- Doing the matching
 - Matching your own environmental data based on date, time, location
 - Pay attention to time zones
 - Example R code:

```
FishEnvCombo <- merge(TagDetections,
EnvData[,c("temp", "chla", "depth", "datetime", "station)],
by = c("datetime", "station"))
```

Matching environmental data to tag detections

- Doing the matching
 - Matching someone else's environmental data
 - R package rerddapXtracto pulls data from public ERDDAP servers based on lat/lon location, date
 - Most satellite data are only available on a daily basis
 - Example R code (thanks Beth Bowers!):

TagData<-yourfish xpos<-TagData\$x #longitude ypos<-TagData\$y #latitude tpos<-TagData\$date #detection or receiver dates zpos <- rep(0., length(xpos)) #if elevation or other data are relevant, put here instead

urlBase <- "https://upwell.pfeg.noaa.gov/erddap/" sstInfo <- rerddap::info('erdMBsstd3day', url = urlBase) YourDataEnv <- rxtracto(sstInfo, parameter = 'sst', xcoord=xpos, ycoord=ypos, tcoord=tpos, zcoord=zpos, xlen=0.05, ylen=0.05)

yourfish\$meanerdMBsstd3day=YourDataEnv\$mean

Environmental data to predict against

- Site-based predictions
 - Data covering a certain time period at a specific location
- Spatial mapping
 - Data covering time period and spatial extent of interest
 - Multiple maps may be necessary one for each month, season, etc.









Bangley et al. (2018)

Environmental data to predict against

- Spatial mapping
 - Your own data interpolate across area of interest
 - Works best if your data cover as much of the area of interest as possible
 - Can be finer-scale than satellite data good for small, local areas
 - Variety of methods available in R, ArcGIS, QGIS...
 - Consult you friendly local spatial statistician!



Bangley et al. (2018)

Environmental data to predict against

- Spatial mapping
 - Remotely-sensed data
 - Usually already mapped just download maps covering your area and time period of interest
 - Ocean Color website:
 - https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/
 - Can also be extracted at regularlyspaced points if you want a resolution different than standard downloads



Bangley, C. W., T. H. Curtis, D. H. Secor, R. J. Latour, and M. B. Ogburn. 2020. Identifying important juvenile dusky shark habitat in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean using acoustic telemetry and spatial modeling. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 12: 348-363





Danielle Hall



Dusky Shark (Carcharhinus obscurus)



Northwest Atlantic

NMFS – overfished with overfishing occurring (SEDAR 2016)





Objectives

Develop spatial models of Dusky Shark presence probability based on telemetry detections and environmental data.

Account for seasonal/migratory changes in distribution.

Use spatial models to predict distribution during periods of low/no tag detection.





Danielle Hall

Methods - Telemetry

23 Dusky Sharks

5 by VIMS off VA – Sept 2016, Aug 2017 3 by Tobey Curtis/OCEARCH off NY Bight – Sept 2016 15 off Ocean City, MD – Sept 2017 1067-2200 mm total length







Methods - Mapping, Modeling, and Mapping

Daily environmental data extracted at receiver locations from ERDDAP products: Depth (m) – ETOPO1 SST (°C) – MODIS Aqua Chl a (mg/m³) – MODIS Aqua Sal (psu) - SMAP

Daily presence/absence of tagged dusky sharks at each receiver – matches environmental data temporal resolution

Seasonal and monthly (fall 2017) models

R Packages: rerddapXtracto – data extraction gbm.auto – BRT modeling

VIMS

Results – Tag Detections





Within BOEM Lease Areas Within Shark Closure

Marginal effect plots – seasonal models



Mapped model results – seasonal models



Marginal effect plots – monthly models



Mapped model results – monthly models



Bangley, C. W., D. Hasselman, J. Mills Flemming, F. Whoriskey, J. Culina, L. Enders, and R. Bradford. Accepted. Modeling the Probability of Overlap Between Marine Fish Distributions and Marine Renewable Energy Infrastructure Using Acoustic Telemetry Data. Frontiers in Marine Science





Minas Passage, Nova Scotia

- Up to 15-m tide range, current speeds up to 5 m/s
- Site of active tidal power feasibility studies



Model setup

- Acoustic tag detections species presence/absence
 - 1-hour temporal resolution
- Environmental data derived from FORCE radar and hydrodynamic model
 - 150 x 150-m spatial resolution
- Modeling by tide stage (slack high/low, early/mid/late flood and ebb)



Model goal - illustrate probability of fish "being there in the first place."

- Also shows changes over time and conditions
- Next step is adding fish and turbine characteristics to individual grid cells for finer-scale encounter risk assessment



Challenges

- Acquiring tag detection and environmental data
- Extreme tidal environment
 - Field deployment logistics
 - Effects on tag detection efficiency





Testing tag detection assumptions

- Range testing using both 69-kHz and HR receivers
- Effects of distance and current velocity





The model

- Boosted regression tree of striped bass in fall 2017-2020
- Response variable presence/absence
- Predicted against grids representing average conditions during each tide stage





Testing animal behavior assumptions

- Follow-up tagging
 - Are newly-tagged fish showing up in areas of high predicted probability?
 - Does model performance improve with new tag detections added?



Questions and Discussion

