

## **SECOORA**

## **Technical session 2: Data**

Friday, October 11, 9:40 - 10:20 AM

## **Session overview**

**Lead** = Josh Rhoades, Axiom Data Science, Inc.

**Topics** (in order of interest from our survey):

- Camera integration: Costs, time, maintenance for installations vs. Cameras of opportunity
- 2. Overall data management pipeline and workflow
- 2. Technical requirements for different product applications
- 4. Data access methods
- 8. Website use and improvements
- 9. Integrating code from our existing WebCOOS algorithms into new cameras
- 10. Camera metadata

## **Axiom Data Science**

Who we are:

- Headquartered in Anchorage, Alaska, with data center in Portland, Oregon
- Support a variety of federal, private, academic and non-governmental organizations managing and conducting research in the ecological, geological and ocean sciences.
- Dr. Karina Khazmutdinova, Project Manager (<u>karina@axds.co</u>)
- Josh Rhoades, Software Engineer (josh@axds.co)



https://axiomdatascience.com/

## **Camera integration challenges (installed vs. CoO)**

New, standardized installs (via Surfline):

- Up front cost for installation, and likely also for maintenance (camera upgrades) and remote troubleshooting.
- Standard camera hardware and options allow for streamlined ingests and fewer unexpected behaviors.
- (Hopefully) easier hardware replacements or upgrades over time.
- Better control over hardware (NDAA security compliance)

Cameras of Opportunity (CoO):

- Network, power, and access methods may exist, but have to be discovered (and likely, debugged)
- Tend to be labor intensive, less reliable, and can exhibit unexpected behaviors.
- CoO can't often be remotely managed.
- Non-standard or non-compliant hardware (NDAA security compliance)

## **Overall data management pipeline and workflow**

- Real-time video stream ingests over the network, or externally provided media (S3 upload)
- Post-processing for:
  - Media metadata indexing, and...
  - Secondary product generation (detections, time averages, brightest pixel)
- Website and HTTP/REST API access for results



# Overall data management pipeline and workflow (cont.)

- Includes "live" streaming products (HLS/DASH streams over HTTP)
- Multiple S3 storage options
- Ingest orchestration via Ansible and Docker.
- Live stream and downstream product monitoring via Prometheus/Grafana
- Post-processing via custom Python scripts and web services wrapping researchers' code provided to Axiom.



### Integrating code from existing algorithms into new cameras

- Existing:
  - ML detections (2 rip current models, 1 seal model, 1 general-purpose object detection model)
  - Shoreline Detection (with brightest pixel and time averaged pixel products)
- Uses Axiom compute resources (CPU, GPU, storage, network)
- Lots of compute, but also, very labor intensive (code collaboration, adapting existing code to HTTP services, testing, and performance profiling)



5 minute load average over 48 hours (9/25/2024)

### **Technical requirements for different product applications**

- CPU and GPU compute
  - ML model detection tends to require GPU support (both GPU compute and VRAM)
- Scaling: number of cameras over time
  - ~200GB a day
  - ~300-700 media files per asset per day (that potentially need some form of processing)
- Currently: 9 physical hosts, 3 GPUs



## **Data access methods**

Incoming (methods of ingress to AXDS):

- RTSP/RTMP ("push" or "pull", depending on network situation)
  - RTSP/RTMP "push" method similar to what is used for Youtube, Twitch, etc.
- HLS/DASH streams (via HTTP)
- S3 upload

Outgoing (methods of egress from AXDS):

- webcoos.org (website)
- WebCOOS API (HTTP/REST)
- HLS/DASH streams (via HTTP)
- S3 download
- HTTP indexed directories (less used)

## Website use & improvements

- Not technically broken, but definite room for improvement.
- Josh would like:
  - deep-links (link to a specific time, or a specific media element)
  - Fix UI timezone issues
  - Revisit the existing deployment workflow (very labor intensive)
  - Integrate better into Axiom's other portal products (better time series integration, better map integration)

## Camera metadata

- Media files with metadata indexed in Postgres (available via WebCOOS API request)
  - Time extent (duration)
  - Size
  - Location (lat/lon)
- JPG and MP4 files also updated with EXIF metadata (lat/lon, camera title, with UTC timestamp in basename)
- JSON files also serve to further annotate ML detection and shoreline detection results.

#### OpenAPI spec: https://app.webcoos.org/api/swagger/

