

Project Name: Southeast Coastal Ocean Observing Regional Association (SECOORA):
Supporting Resilient Ecosystems, Communities and Economies

Primary Contact & Recipient Institution: Debra Hernandez, Executive Director, SECOORA
P.O. Box 13856, Charleston, SC 29422; P: (843) 906-8686; E: debra@secoora.org

Other Principal Investigators and Affiliation (* Denotes Lead PI):

Robert Byrne, University of South Florida (USF); Alina Corcoran, FL Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC)*; L. Kellie Dixon, Mote Marine Laboratory (MML); Jennifer Dorton, University of North Carolina Wilmington (UNCW)*; Catherine Edwards, University of Georgia (UGA) Skidaway Institute of Oceanography (SkIO)*; Amy Fowler, SC Department of Natural Resources (DNR)*; Ryan Gandy, FWC; Ruoying He, North Carolina State University (NCSU)*; Chuanmin Hu, USF; Chad Lembke, USF, Jason Lenos, USF, Lynn Leonard, UNCW*; Yongang Liu, USF; James Locascio, MML*; Vincent Lovko, MML; Mark Luther, USF*; George Maul, Florida Institute of Technology (FIT)*; Clifford Merz, USF; Scott Noakes, UGA*; Dwayne Porter, University of South Carolina (USC)*; Roger Pugliese, South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC); Marcel Reichert, SC DNR; Mitchel Roffer, Roffer's Ocean Fishing Forecasting Service, Inc. (ROFFS)*; Ryan Rykaczewski, USC; Denise Sanger, SC DNR*; Dana Savidge, UGA SKIO; Jeff Scudder, USF; Harvey Seim, UNC Chapel Hill (UNCCH); Lynn (Nick) Shay, University of Miami (UM)*; George Voulgaris, USC; Robert Weisberg, USF*; Joseph Zambon, NCSU; Fumin Zhang, Georgia Institute of Technology

Project Summary

SECOORA is part of the US Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS®), and evolved out of several earlier sub-regional programs. Its footprint covers both the eastern Gulf of Mexico (GOM) and the South Atlantic Bight (SAB), which are connected by the Loop Current- Florida Current- Gulf Stream continuum. Our members include a cross-section of regional interests from private industry, academia, non-governmental organizations and state and federal government. SECOORA integrates observations, models, data management and outreach to sustain and advance an end-to-end, regional coastal ocean observing system (RCOOS) responsive to societal needs. An information management system transforms and delivers value-added products and services consistent with priorities identified through stakeholder needs assessments. SECOORA emphasizes coordinating a multidisciplinary suite of coastal ocean observations with numerical models so that societally important phenomena may be described, understood and ultimately predicted via applications of best science practices. This work provides foundational observing, modeling and data management capabilities to predict and support preparedness to changing conditions, thus enabling resiliency.

IOOS, from its inception, recognized the importance of the coastal ocean in providing a significant part of the nation's ecosystems services. SECOORA is a region where hurricane landfalls are prevalent and where consequences from oil and gas operations are significant. The region is also a major tourist destination and a commercial and recreational fishing hub. Through performance, SECOORA has demonstrated both a vision and set of accomplishments toward meeting the IOOS goal of societally relevant applied science. SECOORA sustains observations consisting of High Frequency radars (HFR) for surface currents and waves; moored buoys for surface meteorology and water temperature, salinity and in some instances waves and currents; coastal stations for surface meteorology and water level; glider surveys, including bio-optical measurements, temperature, and salinity; and, exploratory bio-acoustic measurements. These observational components inform and support models across multiple scales and applications. SECOORA-related modeling is used to explain various phenomenology in the region, including harmful algal blooms (HABs), storm surges, oil spill trajectories, fisheries recruitment and beach water quality. SECOORA funding, therefore, supports an array of coastal ocean observations in addition to benefitting

society across a spectrum of coastal ocean applications. SECOORA is currently supported by a National Oceanographic Partnership Program grant administered through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) US IOOS Program Office which ends in 2016. This proposal seeks funding for the five year period from 2016 - 2021.

Priorities for SECOORA over the next five years are to sustain critical observing, data management and modeling activities, build upon successes with users, seek new leveraging opportunities and add new multidisciplinary RCOOS components. With level (\$2.5M) funding, SECOORA specifically seeks to:

- Continue governance and management for the regional association (RA);
- Effectively engage users and other stakeholders to prioritize investments;
- Provide data management and communication (DMAC) infrastructure;
- Continue operation of the existing HFRs in the region, presently consisting of 15 sites distributed from Cape Hatteras to west Florida, and continue coordination with the national network;
- Continue operation of 21 *in situ* stations along the Carolina and West Florida Shelf (WFS) coasts;
- Sustain modeling and other product efforts, including: 1) SECOORA-wide daily nowcast/forecast (N/F) system for currents, waves, and primary production, 2) statistical beach and shellfish water quality predictions; 3) an improved Marine Weather Portal (MWP); and, 4) a high resolution WFS circulation modeling system directly linking shelf seas with estuaries;
- Address spatial observing gaps by: 1) initiating a glider observatory for the South Atlantic Bight (SAB) and 2) installing a coastal water quality and meteorological station in Charleston Harbor, SC.

With funding above \$2.5M, necessary additions to the RCOOS will be enabled to:

- Implement a HAB forecasting system for the WFS;
- Provide seasonal ecosystem advisory reports for the SE continental shelf;
- Assess vulnerability of marine and estuarine crustacean fisheries to climate change;
- Deploy seafloor pH, passive acoustics and related sensors near the Ocean Acidification (OA)-enhanced National Data Buoy Center (NBDC) buoy 41008 in Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary (GRNMS);
- Install and operate new HFRs east of Port Canaveral, Florida;
- Add OA sensor packages to existing buoys (Carolina and WFS coasts); and,
- Implement an initiative to measure marine soundscapes and define ambient noise baselines.

SECOORA works closely with partners to achieve our goals. Below are contributors to this effort:

- Federal: NOAA National Weather Service (NWS), Ocean Acidification Program, National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS), National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Ocean Service (NOS), Ecological Forecasting Roadmap Team, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS), Office of Response and Restoration (OR&R), and GRNMS, US Marine Corps, US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), US Coast Guard (USCG).
- State: NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), SC Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC), GA DNR, FL Department of Environment Protection (DEP), FL Department of Health (FL DOH), FL FWC.
- NGOs: SAFMC, Southeastern Fisheries Association (SFA), Governors' South Atlantic Alliance (GSAA).
- Emergency managers at Federal, state and local levels: NOAA OR&R, NOAA NWS Weather Forecast Offices (WFOs), county emergency managers.

Table of Contents

Introduction / Background.....	1
Connections to Users/Stakeholders and Benefits.....	2
Goals, Objectives and Workplans.....	2
Goal 1: Continue SECOORA’s region-wide governance and communication structure to engage users and stakeholders in coastal observing science.....	3
Objective 1.1: Maintain governance and management for the RA and RCOOS.	3
Objective 1.2: Engage users and other stakeholders to prioritize investments.....	3
Objective 1.3: Provide DMAC infrastructure to enable collaboration and decision-making.	3
Goal 2: Continue existing core observation investments in the region.....	5
Objective 2.1: Maintain 15 HFRs distributed throughout the region.	5
Objective 2.2: Maintain 21 <i>in situ</i> stations along the Carolina and WFS coasts.	5
Goal 3: Begin to address geographic gaps in observations.....	6
Objective 3.1: Establish a regional glider observatory in the SAB.	6
Objective 3.2: Install a new coastal water quality station in Charleston Harbor.....	7
Goal 4: Continue delivery of operational model forecasts and products to serve priority users.....	7
Objective 4.1: Enhance and operate a Coupled Marine Environmental Assessment and Prediction System.	7
Objective 4.2: Downscaling from the Deep-ocean, across the Continental Shelf and into the Estuaries..	7
Objective 4.3: Provide an Early Warning System for Swimming Beach and Shellfish Harvesting Waters.	8
Objective 4.4: Optimize and enhance the SECOORA Marine Weather Portal (MWP).	8
Goal 5: Initiate new operational products to meet additional user needs.....	9
Objective 5.1: Implement a HAB forecasting system for the WFS.....	9
Objective 5.2: Provide seasonal ecosystem advisory reports for the SE continental shelf.	9
Objective 5.3: Assess vulnerability of marine crustacean fisheries of the SE to climate change.	10
Goal 6: Continue building critical elements of the observing system by adding biogeochemical and marine sound sensors, and HFRs	10
Objective 6.1: Improve the seafloor observatory near Gray’s Reef OA buoy.	10
Objective 6.2: Add and maintain OA sensor packages at locations on the WFS and NC coasts.	11
Objective 6.3: Implement a regional ocean sound observing initiative to characterize and measure sources of sound production and establish acoustic baseline levels.....	11
Objective 6.4: Install and operate new HFRs east of Port Canaveral, Florida.....	11
User Benefits and Product Delivery.....	12
Summary	13
Milestones and Cost Proposal	14

Appendices

Appendix 1. Maps and Figures
Appendix 2. References
Appendix 3. Letters of Support
Appendix 4. Budget Narrative
Appendix 5. CVs
Appendix 6. Congressional districts
Appendix 7. SF424As
Appendix 8. NEPA

Introduction / Background

SECOORA seeks to leverage and augment existing observational, modeling, data management and product assets in the region capitalizing on nearly two decades of RCOOS experience. This work provides foundational observing, modeling and data management capabilities to predict and support preparedness to changing conditions, thus enabling resiliency. RCOOS assets are used to characterize marine conditions, identify responses to stochastic events, validate existing and developing models, support decision-making and predict and verify short and long-term coastal ocean ecological and physical trends, all of which are necessary to achieve resilient ecosystems, communities and economies. SECOORA will continue engagement with regional partners and end users in the development and enhancement of products and services consistent with priorities identified by assessing their needs.

SECOORA's highest priorities are to continue supporting coastal ocean observing assets and models that provide real-time information to users, and generate long-term datasets necessary to detect, analyze and predict environmental and ecosystem changes in the SE coastal ocean. RCOOS assets to be supported with \$2.5M include:

1. Fifteen existing HFR installations throughout the region;
2. Twenty-one existing *in situ* stations along the Carolina and WFS coasts;
3. A new coastal water quality and meteorological station in Charleston Harbor;
4. An initial SAB glider observatory; and,
5. Models and products, including: a) SECOORA-wide daily N/F system for currents, waves, and primary production, b) downscaling model for the WFS, c) a statistical beach and shellfish water quality prediction; and d) an improved SECOORA MWP.

With funding above \$2.5M baseline, the addition of new OA and acoustic sensor suites, in conjunction with enhanced modeling efforts and new products (including a WFS HAB forecast and an ecosystem advisory report) will address significant regional issues related to port expansion, offshore energy exploration and development, fisheries management and environmental threats such as OA and HABs. With these expanded capabilities, SECOORA will be strategically positioned to meet the needs of a variety of regional and national user-groups.

As shown in Figure 1, SECOORA's proposed activities are structured on IOOS system design and will continue SECOORA's contributions to national and regional components of IOOS. The full-time Executive Director coordinates RA governance and management with a Board comprised of representatives from multiple sectors. Stakeholders are regularly engaged through formal and informal mechanisms that inform




Figure 1: This schematic is a visual representation of the overall proposal. With oversight from Governance and Management, and in collaboration with PIs, Data Management and Communications successfully manages and integrates data for a suite of tools and applications. Each component is linked to priority stakeholder needs under four theme areas.¹ *Numbers reflect proposed and existing stations.

SECOORA's priorities. Over 55% of the proposal budget is devoted to observing operations that include HRF systems, gliders and moored and coastal stations, some of which have been in continuous operation for 20 years. The proposed investment in DMAC functions will assure IOOS DMAC requirements and standards are met and incorporated into operations. The planned modeling activities are tightly linked to the observing subsystem and support a suite of integrated products and forecasts that address priority stakeholder needs for decision support tools. The proposal team, comprised of 33 principal investigators, represents a broad range of scientific and technical expertise and experience, and is fully capable of implementing the proposed objectives. SECOORA activities are highly leveraged and supported by many partners and stakeholders assuring the available federal funding will have maximum impact, both regionally and nationally. To summarize, the goals, objectives and supporting information of this proposal demonstrate SECOORA's alignment with the requirements of this funding opportunity as well as IOOS program goals.

Connections to Users/Stakeholders and Benefits

Regional and national stakeholders benefit from an enhanced and sustained RCOOS through easy access to data and information required for safety, commerce, public health and ecosystem management. In October 2014, SECOORA evaluated existing stakeholder needs assessments² for the SE, vetted findings with the Board, and confirmed four thematic priority areas on which SECOORA should focus: 1) Ecosystems: Water Quality and Living Marine Resources; 2) Coastal Hazards; 3) Marine Operations; and 4) Climate Change. The goals and objectives of this proposal reflect and address these priorities.

Our major partners are identified in the Project Summary, and Letters of Support in Appendix 3 address specific benefits to users. SECOORA also engages stakeholders through participation in regional and national teams, meetings, conferences, science fairs, newsletters and focused workshops as well as collaborations with the other RAs. Several students are directly supported as part of this proposal and many others are engaged by PIs, resulting in significant contribution to development of future scientists. Additionally, SECOORA actively participates in regional groups such as the GSAA, NOAA's Southeast and Caribbean Regional Team (SECART), and the Southeast Ocean and Coastal Acidification Network (SOCAN), which represent key stakeholder groups. Each of these collaborations further leverages SECOORA work and outreach. More details on product delivery, users and benefits are in this proposal beginning on page 12.

Goals, Objectives and Workplans

At an annual funding level of \$2.5M, SECOORA will implement Goals 1 through 4 to support SECOORA's base capacity. SECOORA will maintain existing infrastructure and, barring significant accidents or failures, will target system uptime of 85%. In addition, SECOORA will add new observing infrastructure (Goal 3) needed to fill long-standing gaps in our regional network and build capacity required to ensure SECOORA's long-term viability as a regional enterprise. At \$4M annually, Goals 5 and 6 will be added while fully implementing Goals 1 through 4. Goal 5 includes significant new products intended to meet current and growing user demand for science-based decision support tools, while Goal 6 addresses science and management issues of regional significance through addition of new OA, acoustic and physical sensors.

SECOORA is a mature RA supporting extensive observing assets (Figure 2), some of which have been in continuous operation since 1998. As one of 11 RAs established through US IOOS, SECOORA coordinates coastal and ocean observing activities, facilitates dialogue among stakeholders, and provides a governance framework that supports regional observing across the linked sub-regions of the SE US, including the WFS, southern and eastern FL, and the SAB. SECOORA seeks to meet SE decision-maker needs for coastal and ocean information by sustaining and advancing the RCOOS through implementation of six goals over the next five years. Objectives and tasks are also included in Table 2, Milestones. For each goal, we describe below objectives, technical approach, data management and product development.

Goal 1: Continue SECOORA's region-wide governance and communication structure to engage users and stakeholders in coastal observing science.

Objective 1.1: Maintain governance and management for the RA and RCOOS.

SECOORA's existing membership, governance, management and communications enterprise uniquely positions SECOORA to coordinate the SE's coastal and ocean observing activities. SECOORA is a non-profit membership organization with three full-time and two part-time staff who provide program development, data and information management, communications, accounting and other program coordination and management services. Hernandez leads the team and oversees SECOORA staff and operations. Subramanian is the RCOOS Manager and DMAC coordinator. Lee is the Business Manager and Knight the part-time accountant. Wakely is Communications Specialist, leading web and social media content development.

SECOORA is governed by a Board of Directors and by-laws that stipulate both geographic and sector (i.e. academic, private, public/nonprofit) representation. Members represent a broad range of stakeholders and expertise with interests in the coast and ocean. SECOORA Board of Directors serve three-year terms, with approximately 1/3 of the Board elected annually by the membership. Board responsibilities include oversight of fiscal matters, development of proposals and SECOORA's application for certification as Regional Information Coordination Entity (scheduled for submittal in Spring 2016).

Objective 1.2: Engage users and other stakeholders to prioritize investments.

All SECOORA members and PIs represent their institutions, peers, and/or interest groups in SECOORA decision-making and serve as ambassadors for SECOORA and IOOS. RA staff, PIs and partners actively engage in local, regional and national stakeholder groups (e.g. GSAA, NOAA SECART, Integrated Tracking of Aquatic Animals in the GOM (iTAG), local American Meteorological Society, Marine Technology Society, etc.), which help SECOORA establish priorities, identify leveraging opportunities and reduce redundant efforts in our region. For example, SECOORA is leading SOCAN in partnership with NOAA's OA Program, and planning for a SE climate variability and fisheries workshop with NOAA NMFS and other RAs.

SECOORA uses formal and informal communications to engage members and stakeholders. Communication activities and products include an annual report,³ informational one-pagers and other print material, presentations at meetings, press releases, e-newsletters and content for website and various social media outlets. We also regularly survey⁴ users and stakeholders regarding priorities and requirements. These efforts increase stakeholders' awareness of observing activities and their relevance. At the \$4 million funding level, a part-time contractor will be added to support additional outreach.

Objective 1.3: Provide DMAC infrastructure to enable collaboration and decision-making.

SECOORA proposes to enhance the current DMAC subsystem to improve efficiency, coordination and sustainability of the system as a whole, while maintaining all existing data partnerships. Recent technological advances and new data management strategies provide the opportunity to augment the capabilities of the existing SECOORA data management system to better meet the needs of stakeholders and user groups. Given the central role of DMAC in SECOORA's mission, in 2015 the SECOORA Board of Directors recommended a competitive contract process for provision of SECOORA DMAC functions under the direct supervision of the SECOORA staff. Through this process, Axiom Data Science, LLC (Axiom) was selected for providing data management services, with Wilcox serving as the project manager.

Axiom will provide the DMAC infrastructure and management support for SECOORA. Axiom provides comprehensive technical solutions to meet data management needs, while using open source software resources, high performance computing clusters and interoperability services. This framework will directly leverage systems, capabilities and lessons learned from ongoing DMAC activities for the Alaska

Ocean Observing System, the Central and Northern California Ocean Observing System and IOOS. The resulting DMAC system will increase data access and use, and allow SECOORA to rapidly develop new capabilities and tools to meet a variety of user needs.

SECOORA is committed to implementing the standards and guidelines set forth by the IOOS DMAC subsystem. We will continue to work alongside other RAs, data partners and the IOOS program office on the implementation of QARTOD (both technically and by providing feedback on draft manuals), and will participate in all national DMAC efforts and meetings. SECOORA will ensure that all data products are discoverable and broadly accessible to user groups through implementation of standard IOOS services. As data discovery (Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) Catalog Service for the Web and access systems (OGC Sensor Observation Service, Open-source Project for a Network Data Access Protocol (OpenDAP), Environmental Research Division's Data Access Program (ERDDAP), OGC Web Mapping Service) evolves, scientific numerical synthesis activities will be accelerated by the availability of larger numbers of high quality data sets that can be operated on in sophisticated ways (visualized, subset and transformed).

Effective Communication with Users and Stakeholders: Axiom will update SECOORA's website, rebranding SECOORA to coincide with the IOOS rebranding effort, and provide SECOORA with a state-of-the-art streamlined platform to distribute information, tools and products. New applications developed will follow the branded style guide. The Axiom developed framework manages a variety of ocean data types (*in situ*, mobile, and remotely sensed, numerical multidimensional grids, geographic information system, and other structured formats), exposes managed data through interoperability systems based on IOOS service standards, and uses several user interface tools that allow data to be discovered and explored by the broader community. Using this framework to power the SECOORA DMAC system will enable the partners to rapidly ingest or connect to data sources relevant to SECOORA and efficiently develop advanced user tools and data products. These data sources include SECOORA-supported assets as well as relevant data from local, state, regional and federal sources. The proposed efforts will redevelop the current catalog interface to effectively search and query the entire DMAC infrastructure and allow users to find all SECOORA data resources (e.g., buoy, glider, satellite or model), and immediately be presented with metadata and the ability to extract and visualize the data.

Simplified Coordination and Integration of Data Lifecycle: The data provider relationship will be restructured to facilitate efficient data transfer. For example, *in situ* data providers currently submit their data to NDBC independently; here it is proposed to centralize the NDBC data submission process through the SECOORA DMAC infrastructure. SECOORA will also use the Research Workspace, a scientific collaboration platform and data management tool developed by Axiom, to secure and centralize project information from data providers, generate IOOS compliant metadata, and ultimately elect project profiles and data files for publication on public data portals and catalogs. Restructuring the DMAC infrastructure will allow for better quality control, reliable delivery of data and products, archiving to national data centers, distribution of data to NDBC and the World Meteorological Organization Global Telecommunication System, distribution through IOOS standard services and data formats, and controlled metadata standards and vocabularies. This expertise and infrastructure will also be available to support appropriate inclusion of relevant local, state, and regional data sources not currently discoverable.

DMAC Sustainability: Through Axiom, SECOORA will have access to larger computational and storage resources. This allows processing and integration of larger and more complex datasets into the DMAC system and better positions SECOORA to respond to user requests such as oil spill trajectory modeling⁵. The SECOORA data assembly center, replicated at data centers in Portland, OR and Providence, RI, are designed to be highly redundant to support recovery in the event of a catastrophic failure. All databases and code repositories are backed-up on nightly, weekly and monthly schedules. In-progress development is replicated to source control servers to ensure that source code is preserved. All servers undergo routine maintenance to swiftly address security vulnerabilities. Servers containing source code and data-

bases are located behind an Enterprise level firewall and are physically secure with environmental regulation systems, redundant power and Uninterrupted Power Supply systems in addition to fire suppression.

Goal 2: Continue existing core observation investments in the region.

Objective 2.1: Maintain 15 HFRs distributed throughout the region.

HFR arrays currently maintained and operated in the region (Figure 2) map surface currents at high spatial and temporal resolution across the coastal ocean and the outer shelf and slope. These arrays include Coastal Ocean Dynamics Applications Radar (CODAR) and Wellen Radars (WERA), most of which have operated for at least 10 years. Each of five SECOORA members (UNCCH, USC, UGA SkIO, UM, USF) possesses two or more radars. Surface current data are currently being reported in near real time (NRT) to SECOORA and to the HFR National Network at Scripps Institute of Oceanography (Scripps) and Rutgers through the IOOS-sponsored HFR Surface Current Mapping Initiative and are proposed to continue for this five-year proposal. WERA installations also provide surface wave measurements as a function of position and time over a subset of the domain. Both operational and quality metrics are routinely checked. This includes assessment of daily variations in coverage and uptime using metrics such as database latency, range of coverage and number of solutions as implemented by the National HFR Network.

Objective 2.2: Maintain 21 *in situ* stations along the Carolina and WFS coasts.

Strategically placed *in situ* arrays address marine user observational needs and provide a critical component in the development, validation and application of state-of-the-art numerical circulation models. The observations and models are part of a coordinated system that informs a variety of scientific exploration and societally relevant (economic and environment) issues. Two institutions (UNCW and USF) will continue to operate and maintain for this five-year proposal 21 *in situ* stations along the coasts of the Carolinas and WFS (Figure 2), several of which have been operational for 20 years. USF will sustain four offshore real-time meteorological/oceanographic stations (three buoys, one tower); two non-real-time subsurface stations; and six real-time meteorological and water level stations, some equipped with water temperature and salinity sensors. One coastal station, maintained in partnership with YSI/Xylem, measures water quality variables⁶ while another (Big Carlos Pass) includes an acoustic sensor for measuring fish activity. UNCW will sustain six real-time meteorological/oceanographic stations located offshore of NC and SC. Three of these stations include WaveRider buoys co-located with a meteorological buoy and these are operated and maintained in partnership with USACE and the Coastal Data Information Program (CDIP) at Scripps. All of these in-situ stations augment a number of existing real-time observing sites operated by federal and state agencies. At a minimum, the stations are outfitted with sensors that collect wind speed/gust/direction, relative humidity, air temperature, barometric pressure, water temperature and salinity. Some of the stations collect water column temperature, salinity and currents which are important contributions to the validation of forecast models. Table 1 provides the list of variables measured by the *in situ* assets.

The buoy and coastal station designs are robust, with both the Carolinas and WFS arrays surviving prior hurricanes. Moorings and coastal stations are generally deployed for one year with intermediate servicing as needed. This annual schedule requires instrument calibration and repairs, expendables replacement, ship-time, engineering support, and data management support. USF WFS network data telemetry is via the NOAA Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) network and UNCW uses Iridium and cell phone services. UNCW (through Second Creek Consulting) and USF address data management and IOOS data certification requirements such as QA/QC, data delivery, operational system alerts and system performance monitoring. All data feeds into the SECOORA DMAC system.

Table 1. Moored and Coastal Stations

Variables:	#	SST/WCT	SS/WCS	SC/WCC	AT	H/P	BP	SR/PAR	WS&D	W	WL
	STNS										
Moored Assets											
UNCW	9	x	x	x*	x	x	x	x	x	x*	
USF	6	x/x*	x/x*	x/x	x	x	x	x*	x	x*	x*
Coastal Stations											
USF	6	x*	x*		x	x	x		x		x

SST/WCT: Sea surface temperature/Water column temperature; SS/WCS: Sea Surface Salinity/Water column salinity; SC/WCC: Surface currents/Water column currents; AT: Air temperature; BP: Barometric pressure; SR/PAR: Solar radiation/ Photosynthetically Active Radiation; H/P: Humidity and/or precipitation; WS&D: wind speed and direction; W: waves; and WL: water level. *Variables measured at some stations only.

Goal 3: Begin to address geographic gaps in observations.

Although the SE region benefits from a number of legacy subregional observing programs, major gaps remain in the spatial extent of the observatory. At the \$2.5M level, SECOORA proposes modest investments to continue build-out of the RCOOS in order to meet priority stakeholder needs.

Objective 3.1: Establish a regional glider observatory in the SAB.

Shelf circulation and water properties in the SAB are affected by a variety of processes and characteristics that are unique to the region (i.e., broad and shallow shelf, influence of strong boundary currents, strong tidal forcing, distributed river input, passage of powerful tropical storms and hurricanes). Those processes have wide ranges of spatial and temporal scales not easily observed with traditional technology, which has led to a historic lack of information on density stratification⁷ and horizontal and vertical structure of biologically relevant variables. *In situ* observations are particularly limited in winter and during storm conditions, when shipboard measurements are difficult to obtain.

SECOORA partners will establish a cooperative regional glider observatory to sample the SAB shelf initially; and provide regional 4-D information about temperature, salinity and density structure, and oxygen/turbidity/CDOM/chl-a concentrations. These measurements will be used to 1) investigate hydrography and circulation dynamics, bottom temperatures and cross-shelf pathways between the shelf edge and nearshore; 2) use control theory and ocean models to develop intelligent control capabilities⁸ allowing gliders to recognize and correct for fronts and strong currents; 3) prepare glider data for integration into circulation and ecosystem modeling efforts; 4) efficiently deliver information to the modeling community and stakeholders (e.g., bottom temperature maps to NOAA fishery science centers) through SECOORA DMAC and the IOOS glider data assembly center (DAC); and 5) use this base of regional glider operations for leveraged efforts supported by GRNMS, National Science Foundation, NASA and other state agencies.

We propose simultaneous deployment of three to five SkIO/UGA, UNC, USF and NCSU gliders once to twice a year for the five years of this proposal, with gliders launched from near Cape Canaveral and Savannah, GA and recovered near SkIO and Morehead City, NC (Figure 2). These mapping missions will provide spatial coverage for data assimilation and fisheries applications, and will serve as a baseline of operations. Leveraged efforts through regional partners and extramural funding will add repeat deployments at targeted locations (GRNMS, UNCW moorings, etc.). Operations will integrate and take advantage of *in situ* and HFR observations, satellite imagery and regional model predictions to optimize glider tracks. All gliders deployed will incorporate Vemco Mobile Transceivers (VMT), acoustic monitoring receivers to track tagged fish⁹ and at least one will be outfitted with an integrated VMT to transmit detections in real time in coordination with other Goal 6 (Objective 6.3) activities. Acoustic data will be shared with the fisheries research community (Ocean Tracking Network, Atlantic Cooperative Telemetry network, FL Atlantic Coast Telemetry, iTAG, GRNMS). Collaborative work between oceanographers and engineers at SkIO, Georgia Tech, and NCSU will explore new methods of acoustic telemetry facilitated by autonomous platforms operated using intelligent control strategies.

Objective 3.2: Install a new coastal water quality station in Charleston Harbor.

Charleston Harbor is the busiest port in the state of SC, and the second largest in the SE US in cargo tonnage and value. Currently there is no continuous water quality monitoring of the surface and bottom waters in Charleston Harbor. The deepening of Charleston Harbor to accommodate larger vessels may begin in late 2016, which could alter salinity regimes and circulation patterns. A before and after dataset will be of great value in assessing project impacts and the data will be useful to recreational and commercial boating interests, scientists, and managers. Coordination with USACE, USCG, SC Port Authority, SC DNR and SC DHEC to identify an observing site will assure stakeholders receive optimal benefits.

SC DNR will configure and construct in Years 1 and 2 the proposed station to include paired surface and bottom YSI EXO2 data sondes to account for the two-layer estuarine circulation and water quality dynamics. The surface sonde will be located 0.5m below mean low water and the bottom sonde will be deployed 0.5m off the bottom. The sondes will measure water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity and water depth. The surface sonde will also measure chlorophyll fluorescence and fluorescence of dissolved organic matter (FDOM, a proxy for total dissolved organic carbon). Data will be recorded at 15-minute sampling intervals beginning in Year 2 and will be telemetered for the duration of this proposal via NOAA GOES. Data will be QA/QC'd following QARTOD manuals, and delivered via DMAC to users.

Goal 4: Continue delivery of operational model forecasts and products to serve priority users.

A central goal of SECOORA is to develop, in partnership with end users, operational products that will support decision-making. Along with ongoing delivery of the regional model forecasts that fill temporal and spatial gaps in observations, SECOORA will develop and enhance products in collaboration with our partners to support their operational needs. Product delivery plans are included in Table 2 and geographic scope is shown in Figure 3. Objectives 4.1 through 4.3 will operate for Years 1 through 5. Objective 4.4 is a three-year development effort, and then will be maintained by SECOORA DMAC.

Objective 4.1: Enhance and operate a Coupled Marine Environmental Assessment and Prediction System.

SECOORA will work with members at NCSU to: 1) sustain NCSU's South Atlantic Bight Gulf of Mexico (SABGOM) ocean circulation daily N/F modeling system;^{10, 11} 2) establish the full couplings of wave (SWAN¹²), atmosphere (WRF¹³) and ocean circulation (ROMS¹⁴) models, and generate regional, high resolution nowcasts and forecasts of ocean circulation, waves, marine weather, lower trophic level marine ecosystem predictions and other value added products; 3) implement into the prediction system a routine data assimilation of satellite-observed sea surface temperature and sea surface height, glider-measured subsurface temperature and salinity, HFR-measured surface currents and other environmental data collected in regional fishery independent surveys; 4) continue model skill assessment for marine environmental variables through appropriate comparisons with independent (non-assimilated) observations (e.g., mooring observations). Working with SAFMC, the team will also start developing the interface between NCSU's oceanographic modeling system and Ecopath as well as other higher trophic level ecological/fishery models. Through this work, SECOORA will implement an advanced regional marine environmental assessment and prediction capability over the entire SECOORA domain with associated error estimates, and update and transmit information to stakeholders in a timely and clear fashion.

Objective 4.2: Downscaling from the Deep-ocean, across the Continental Shelf and into the Estuaries.

A parallel modeling effort exists in the NE GOM for which daily, automated ocean circulation N/F are provided by nesting Finite Volume Coastal Ocean Model (FVCOM) into HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM). Initial work (with model simulations quantitatively gauged against *in situ* observations¹⁵) now includes the region from west of the Mississippi River Delta to south of the Florida Keys with real time river inflows versus climatology. Recent applications to HABs^{16, 17}, gag grouper recruitment¹⁸, and DWH oil

transports both subsurface¹⁹ and surface²⁰ demonstrate the utility of the approach in contributing stakeholder-driven information. This West Florida Coastal Ocean Model (WFCOM), with resolution beginning with that of HYCOM (4km) along the open boundary, includes the various estuaries, telescoping down to 150m in both Tampa Bay and Charlotte Harbor. WFCOM provides the tracking capability for WFS HABs (in collaboration with FWC), and it was recently used by emergency managers for an oil spill training exercise (for which model simulations were accessed from NOAA GNOME through the NOAA GOODS using THREDDS data server capabilities developed with the assistance of SECOORA DMAC. WFCOM, in coordination with USF HFR and moorings, is presently being used by a USCG sponsored USF graduate student for an MS thesis project concerning SAR. These multidisciplinary applications will continue to develop new products via stakeholder outreach. Evident from the applications already cited is that coastal ocean ecology depends on multidimensional aspects related to organism success. Inter-annual variations in *K.brevis* red tides, gag recruitment success and fish location/abundance are all tied to the circulation that determines the transport of water properties. For instance, upwelling is required for a *K. brevis* bloom to manifest along the shore²¹, but too much upwelling suppresses bloom development²², thus the inclusion of WFCOM in Objective 5.1. Only through coordinated multidisciplinary studies do we gain understandings and predictive capabilities for matters of stakeholder concern.

Objective 4.3: Provide an Early Warning System for Swimming Beach and Shellfish Harvesting Waters.

Currently, no tools exist to explore the likely effects of changing land-use practices and climate change scenarios on pathogenic bacterial pollution in the coastal areas of NC, SC and FL. SECOORA members from USC will develop the tools needed to forecast the frequency of pollution events that will impact shellfish harvesting and beach management under various climate change scenarios by building upon existing SECOORA, NOAA, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), USGS and state-supported coastal and ocean observing efforts, and SECOORA and EPA modeling efforts. This will result in enhancements to existing decision support tools²³ currently in operation in Long Bay SC and the Chesapeake Bay, and adapt them for multiple management uses in coastal waters of SC, Newport River estuary and Pamlico Sound in NC, and the east coast of FL (Figure 3).

This early warning system will provide predictions of bacterial loading based on indicator bacteria concentration, radar-based precipitation, salinity, water temperature and potentially other environmental data such as tributary river flow, wind, current and wave information.²⁴ An ensemble modeling approach of Multiple Regression and Classification and Regression Tree analyses will be used to develop these relationships.²⁵ ²⁶ Sensitivity analysis will be performed to evaluate the effect of changes in each of the parameters included in the resulting predictive models. State and local health and natural resource agency personnel are collaborators will inform product development as described in Table 2.

Objective 4.4: Optimize and enhance the SECOORA Marine Weather Portal (MWP).

The MWP is the most viewed product on the SECOORA website. NOAA's NWS offices within the eastern and southern regions were integral in the development of the current MWP and push users to the site. This project will leverage resources from UNCW, USC, Second Creek Consulting, SECOORA, state and local resource management and public health agencies, NWS, and NOAA's Office for Coastal Management / NERRS. These MWP enhancements will: 1) upgrade the existing MWP with newer mapping technology and advanced data management that will increase its speed and efficiency; 2) incorporate NWS derived rip current products where available (e.g. NWS coverage areas in NC, FL); and 3) incorporate the current and planned SECOORA beach water quality products for NC, SC and FL (Objective 4.3). The planned upgrades will result in a more stable, faster MWP and facilitate public access to rip current and water quality information.

Goal 5: Initiate new operational products to meet additional user needs.

Objective 5.1: Implement a HAB forecasting system for the WFS.

In recent decades, HABs have expanded geographically, increased in duration and intensity, and resulted in escalated economic costs worldwide²⁷ and within the SECOORA footprint.^{28 29} Ecological and human health costs are estimated at over \$25M/year in FL alone.³⁰ Despite recent improvements in communicating HAB risks to public health and resource managers, monitoring and forecasting needs to be more robust to meet management and public needs. Leveraging existing infrastructure and resources, SECOORA proposes to build a comprehensive HAB Observing and Forecasting System in the eastern GOM that can then be applied to other areas. SECOORA is well positioned to support such efforts given the prevalence of offshore *K. brevis* blooms and the prominent role of circulation in dictating whether blooms will affect coastal zones.³¹

FWC collects and analyzes routine and event response samples for the presence of greater than 80 HAB species, including *K. brevis*. These data are reported daily to managers in detailed reports and weekly in public bulletins. During blooms, stakeholder conference calls provide an additional forum to distribute 1) HAB information from satellite imagery³² and 2) short-term (3-5-day) bloom forecasts³³ generated from biophysical models based on high resolution 3-D circulation (WFCOM, covering the eastern GOM in forecast mode) and discrete data. FWC, USF and MML propose to integrate this work with other existing observing and forecasting tools to build a HAB observing and forecasting system for the eastern GOM to provide forecasts of *K. brevis* before bloom formation, as well as short-to-mid range forecasts during blooms for the five-year duration of this proposal. Team members from USF and MML will leverage existing infrastructure and resources (e.g., equipment, staff time) to conduct a series of field campaigns to generate discrete water column observations and supplement these them with glider and daily remote sensing observations.

This project will not only result in the output of physical, chemical and biological observations, but also in the development and dissemination of state-of-the-art products including a robust ecological forecast model; an improved forecast tool; and an integrated visualization system (i.e., Google-Earth interface that integrates cell abundance, satellite imagery, forecasts and glider data). Ultimately, this work will contribute to a better understanding of bloom development and advance the mitigation of negative effects of HABs that can be applied beyond the WFS.

Objective 5.2: Provide seasonal ecosystem advisory reports for the SE continental shelf.

Through past work with SAFMC, SECOORA is positioned to utilize regional expertise from management, industry and academic sectors to synthesize and promote innovative, credible, and objective science-based advice on the likely impacts of ocean climate variability on coastal marine ecosystems in the SE.³⁴ NOAA is addressing this issue through their Integrated Ecosystem Assessment and currently provides data, analysis and advice for several regions, but not the SE.³⁵ As the SAFMC has no plans to provide seasonal ecosystem advisories, this initiative will fill an important gap. The Advisory will be composed of graphics and interpretive text, with data distilled into a series of graphs, figures and text. The state of the environment, derived from physical data, will then be compared with known vulnerabilities of important marine species to make inferences about the likely effects of the physical environment on them. Some of the data and analyses will be subdivided into logical areas, such as Raleigh Bay, Onslow Bay, Long Bay, south central FL, etc., but the entire region will be addressed.

Roffer (ROFFS™) will derive the semi-annual “SECOORA Ecosystem Advisory Report”, including collecting and analyzing all physical oceanographic, meteorological and climate data for the five years of this proposal. Pugliese (SAFMC) and Reichert (SC DNR) will review the Ecosystem Advisory prior to public release to ensure the Report is current regarding regional fisheries management issues. Reichert, who leads the Marine Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction Program (MARMAP) and the SE Area Monitoring

and Assessment Program – South Atlantic (SEAMAP-SA) will provide fish relative abundance, life history and oceanographic data as well as information on fishery management issues related to the Advisory.

Objective 5.3: Assess vulnerability of marine crustacean fisheries of the SE to climate change.

While management authority for crustacean fisheries generally resides with individual states, the dynamics of those resources are often interconnected within the SAB. This initiative will utilize long-term fisheries-independent monitoring data of crustacean resources (focusing on blue crab and shrimp) from NC, SC, GA and FL-east coast to explore the role of changing climate in managing commercial fisheries of annual, migratory species. Data assembly and curation will occur in Year 1, and modeling will begin in Year 2. The project concludes in Year 3.

SECOORA members and partners, SC DNR, FWC and FL DEP, will coordinate the acquisition of regional crustacean fisheries-independent data sets from NC, SC, GA and FL-east coast as well as the SEAMAP-SA trawl surveys. These data can capture intra- and inter-annual variability due to the longevity of the monitoring efforts and the spatial spread of the sampling stations. In addition to these data, fisheries dependent data, in the form of landings, are also reported at the state level and available for this project. While environmental abiotic conditions are recorded during the fisheries-independent sampling, other key environmental data measured by existing SECOORA assets will also be used to inform model development. SECOORA members UNCCH and USC will develop models that incorporate regional ocean, atmosphere, and watershed data to examine spatio-temporal variability in crustacean fisheries-independent and dependent data in relation to physical processes. The initial step is to assemble region-wide observations into a database to examine consistency and thoroughness. After assembly, the database will be archived and visualized. In step 2, observations will be used to examine relationships between abiotic factors and fishery-independent and dependent data from various life stages and for validation of oceanographic model data.

Goal 6: Continue building critical elements of the observing system by adding biogeochemical and marine sound sensors, and HFRs

Objective 6.1: Improve the seafloor observatory near Gray's Reef OA buoy.

SECOORA member UGA will continue operation of the seafloor observatory located near the NDBC Buoy 41008 mooring in GRNMS for the 5 years of this proposal. Started in 2008 as a joint research project between UGA and GRNMS to enhance the OA buoy monitoring of surface water chemistry, deployments since 2008 show that observed periodic high partial pressure carbon dioxide ($p\text{CO}_2$) spikes in seafloor data are not reflected in surface analyses and not well-explained by upwelling given the distance from the shelf break. NOAA's Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory has documented near-bottom waters with relatively low values for aragonite saturation state that are higher in $p\text{CO}_2$ and lower in pH, suggesting that seafloor $p\text{CO}_2$ spikes represent water mass movement not reflected in sea surface observations. During these periods, some components of the benthic community could be stressed, so it is vital to understand these OA impacts in order to anticipate the fate of the benthic community. In support of GRNMS management activities, an acoustic sensor will also be added. UGA and GRNMS will operate and service (quarterly) the seafloor platform along with the existing $p\text{CO}_2$, pH, and water quality sensors, and provide the data to SECOORA for data serving and dissemination.

Objective 6.2: Add and maintain OA sensor packages at locations on the WFS and NC coasts.

In coordination with the NOAA OA Program and SOCAN, a suite of OA sensors will be added to moorings on the WFS in Year 1 and NC in Year 2. The suite will likely include the Sea-Bird Scientific SeapHOx™ sensor that combines the Satlantic SeaFET™ pH with the Sea-Bird Electronics SBE 37-SMP-ODO Micro-CAT CTD+DO. In Year 1 USF will deploy two CO_2 sensors at the surface (2m water depth); one on the

50m mooring and one on the 25m mooring in the WFS. USF will also deploy Sunburst sensors to measure CO₂ fugacity (f_{CO_2}). By measuring pH and f_{CO_2} , we will be able to better characterize the CO₂ system parameters including carbonate saturation state. This will allow assessment of impacts of air-sea interaction and ocean circulation on pH level variations. In addition to characterizations derived from pH and f_{CO_2} , total alkalinity (TA) at the moorings will be obtained from regional relationships between TA and salinity (S). Recent examinations indicate TA-S relationships³⁶ are relatively simple on the WFS in comparison to the northern GOM, where TA is much more influenced by variable admixtures of seawater TA and alkalinity that is derived from rivers (where $S \sim 0$ and $TA > 0$). Regional TA-S relationships will be obtained throughout the project through sample collections each time the moorings and sensors are serviced, and also by sample collections obtained via ships of opportunity. The program of CO₂ system measurements will allow comprehensive CO₂ system calculations via either pH and f_{CO_2} , pH and TA, or f_{CO_2} and TA. The measurement strategy will involve system 'over-determination' to provide a critical evaluation of sensor performance. With the experience gained in the data analyses and performance of the sensors at WFS, OA sensors will be deployed to two UNCW maintained buoys (30m depth) in the Carolinas in Year 2 along with continued operation of the WFS OA sensors. Operation of all of the OA sensor suites will continue through Year 5.

Objective 6.3: Implement a regional ocean sound observing initiative to characterize and measure sources of sound production and establish acoustic baseline levels.

As the RCOOS matures, the expansion of sensor types can contribute to understanding ecosystem processes and potential anthropogenic and climate change impact. An example is acoustic sensors. SECOORA proposes to incorporate hydrophones offshore of NC, the WFS and at one inshore site in southwest FL. Hydrophones will be deployed from bottom frames and will measure ambient sound levels and characterize sources of anthropogenic and natural (e.g. fish, marine mammal) noise. The proposed work will incorporate active and passive acoustics to examine patterns in habitat use and in fish sound production that are typically associated with reproductive behavior.³⁷ Environmental data (atmospheric and oceanic) measured at each site on similar time scales as acoustic data will be used to explain variability in sound production and presence/absence of fishes. Mooring chain from buoys may introduce unwanted noise, so recordings made near these sites will be distanced to minimize this effect. During Year 1 both acoustic receiver types (passive ambient and acoustic tag) will be deployed at the Big Carlos Pass (south of Fort Meyers, FL) and ledge sites offshore of NC. Fish species present at each site will be surveyed and a subset will be selected for acoustic tagging (up to 20 fishes at each site). Following evaluation of the results from the first year of deployments, the acoustic program will be continued offshore of NC and expanded through the deployment of acoustics sensors on offshore WFS stations and at the Gray's Reef seafloor observatory (Objective 6.1), as well as adding miniaturized passive acoustics units to gliders (Objective 3.1). The data will be analyzed with respect to human activities and will complement NOAA's Ocean Noise Reference Station Network established in 2014 to monitor background acoustic levels.

Objective 6.4: Install and operate new HFRs east of Port Canaveral, Florida.

To address critical gaps in the current HFR network, FIT and UM (the longest running WERA operator on the east coast) will deploy two 8-channel, 8 MHz WERA systems, one at FIT's Vero Beach Marine Lab and one at the Air Force Station next to Kennedy Space Center (a distance of ~45 nm or 83 km). These systems will be deployed in Year 1. Once operational, HFR data will be provided in NRT to the national network and SECOORA, supporting the USCG, NWS and other users. Along with beginning to fill critical gaps in SECOORA HFR coverage, this system will address science objectives (e.g. Archer, et al. 2015).³⁸ Performance metrics will be the same as those for Objective 2.1.

User Benefits and Product Delivery

SECOORA's approach to developing end-user applications begins with identification and engagement of local, regional and national partners who have articulated a need that can be addressed through coastal ocean observations or applications. Partners are engaged to develop specifications that guide the product and service development effort. End-users participate in the design, development, and validation of such products. Every product or service to be developed under this proposal has a clear link between specific stakeholders and specific SECOORA-supported observation, model, or prediction data. SECOORA ensures that proper and complete metadata are generated, collected and maintained, and that products are made available to the wider user community through commonly used dissemination protocols via SECOORA DMAC system. All observational data, maps, models and other coastal and ocean observing information and products are easily accessed via the SECOORA website, social media outlets and e-newsletters.

Our product delivery plans are tailored to meet users needs and provide important benefits. All SECOORA generated data is delivered through a variety of web services and webpages, and benefits include support for NOAA NWS marine weather forecasts and marine hazards advisories, USCG Search and Rescue (SAR) operations, US Marine Corps and US Navy training operations, and USACE modeling and sediment management applications. Data and data products also support ecosystem management and fisheries stakeholders. Resource managers, recreational anglers and commercial fishers will benefit from improved efficiency in catching target species, reduced by-catch, and less fuel usage. SECOORA's rich data repository also allows stakeholders to utilize historic data. Examples of uses of this archived data include: 1) informing managers and the public of the paths and intensities of past tropical storm systems and coastal water levels associated with these events; 2) visualizing when and where polluted water could move into coastal areas; and 3) providing data to inform climate vulnerability assessments. Table 2 outlines additional delivery plans for non-data products included in this proposal and Figures 2 and 3 in Appendix 1 show observing locations and areal extent of products included in this proposal.

Table 2. Product Delivery Plans and Users (*Activities above the red line are funded at \$2.5M, those below at \$4M*)

Goals and Objectives		Delivery Plans and Users:
4 Continue delivery of operational model forecasts and products to serve priority users		
4.1	Coupled Marine Environmental Assessment and Prediction System	N/F model results served via web services. Stakeholder groups include USCG, NOAA NMFS, NOAA OR&R, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, NC DENR, SC DNR, GA DNR, FL FWC, NWS and SAFMC.
4.2	West Florida Coastal Ocean Model	N/F model results served via web services. Stakeholder groups include USCG, FL FWC, recreational mariners, NOAA Office of Response and Recovery.
4.3	Advisory System for Beach and Shellfish Waters	Smartphone apps and web services. Products include nowcast tools and forecasting products derived by coupling the nowcasting tools and climate change model scenarios. User groups, including resource managers, public health officials and representatives of potentially vulnerable populations will be convened to provide guidance, input and review in support of tool development.
4.4	Marine Weather Portal (MWP)	Served via web services. Project personnel will work with NWS offices to provide iterative feedback on the enhanced product. Users include the marine stakeholder community (fishermen, boaters, NWS, beachgoers).
5 Initiate new operational products to meet user needs		
5.1	WFS HAB Forecast System	Predictive HAB bulletins served via FWC, USF and SECOORA websites. Lead PI is an end-user with connections to other stakeholder groups. Other end-users (e.g., FL Dept. of Agriculture & Consumer Services, FL Dept. of Health) will be engaged to assess the utility of developed tools during bloom-centered conference calls and annual meetings.
5.2	SE Continental Shelf Seasonal Ecosystem Advisory Reports	Provided via email and websites. PIs (ROFFS™, SAFMC and SC DNR) are fisheries management stakeholders with direct links to fisheries managers, fisheries oceanographers, commercial and recreational fishermen, climate scientists, ecologists and the

		public. Fishing industries will be engaged in providing field observations on a volunteer basis. Other specific users include NOAA NMFS, SE Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC), and SE Regional Office (SERO).
5.3	Vulnerability of Crustacean Fisheries to Climate Change	Web accessible data and model results. Lead PI is an end-user with connections to state crustacean managers from NC, SC, GA and FL, and commercial and recreational fishermen. Managers will provide both fisheries independent and dependent data from current and historical collections. Outreach will occur via state departments (NCDMF, SC DNR, GA DNR, FL FWC) and Sea Grant offices, and presented in public meetings, workshops and webinars.

Summary

The proposed baseline funding of \$2.5M will support the core RCOOS framework that has been developed over the past five years that provides:

- Regional coverage over a geographically large and diverse section of the US coastal ocean;
- Stakeholder engagement relative to RCOOS components, including buoy, tower, coastal station, HFR and model data on which citizens, decision-makers and scientists have come to depend;
- Cross-state and intra-regional cooperation; and,
- Maintenance of critical in-water infrastructure, data flow from offshore to the internet, and proven technical capabilities and experience in operational coastal ocean observing.

As a mature RA in a region with significant observing gaps, SECOORA must balance maintenance, filling important gaps in observations and creating new connections to users through thoughtful expansion of products and services to build-out the RCOOS. Numerous national and regional imperatives would also be addressed at the \$4M level by leveraging SECOORA infrastructure (maintained/planned at \$2.5M) and expanding capabilities. Additional funding will allow for the operation of a WFS HAB forecasting system, as well as the deployment of sensors needed to assess and respond to: 1) OA effects on key marine organisms, 2) offshore energy development that makes the SE the prime national battleground for new offshore energy exploration, for which the seismic issue is very significant; 3) harbor/port expansion programs on the east coast; 4) HABs on the WFS, which result in economic costs over \$25M/year in FL fisheries and tourism alone³⁹. With additional funding, we are poised to address these and other regionally significant issues in partnership with numerous stakeholders.

The proposed activities will deliver stakeholders the ongoing observations and modeling products they rely on, and new integrative project components that leverage existing SECOORA efforts (observations, models and DMAC) to create new and exciting opportunities. For example, the proposed glider observatory will use SECOORA HFR data and circulation N/F model predictions to optimize glider data collection, which will then be assimilated into the newly enhanced regional modeling N/F system and inform fisheries managers. The MWP, regional ocean model, WFS model and shellfish and beach advisories will incorporate SECOORA data streams to improve decision-making. The DMAC system will enable users and stakeholders to combine various components of the SECOORA network in novel ways. By creating synergies, adding new capabilities in an intelligent way and supporting core operations, SECOORA will continue to lead observing science in the SE.

Milestones and Cost Proposal

Table 3. Goals, Objectives/Milestones and Schedule

Goals and Objectives	2016-2017				2017-2018				2018-2019				2019-2020				2020-2021			
	(Quarter)				(Quarter)				(Quarter)				(Quarter)				(Quarter)			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Goal 1: Continue SECOORA's region-wide governance and communication to manage RA and engage users and stakeholders in coastal observing science																				
Maintain governance and management for the RA and RCOOS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Engage users and other stakeholders to prioritize investments	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Maintain and operate DMAC	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Goal 2: Maintain existing core observation investments in the region																				
Maintain fifteen HFR distributed throughout the region	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Maintain 21 <i>in situ</i> stations along the Carolina and WFS coasts	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Goal 3: Begin to address geographic gaps in observations																				
Establish a regional glider observatory in the SAB	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Install a new coastal water quality and metrological station in Charleston Harbor, SC	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Goal 4: Continue delivery of operational model forecasts and products to serve priority users																				
Enhance and operate a Coupled Marine Environmental Assessment and Prediction System for the SE	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Downscaling from the Deep-ocean, across the Continental Shelf and into the Estuaries	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Provide an Early Warning System for Swimming Beach and Shellfish Harvesting Waters	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Optimize and enhance the SECOORA MWP	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x								
Goal 5: Initiate new operational products to meet additional user needs																				
Implement a HAB forecasting system for the WFS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Provide seasonal ecosystem advisory reports for the SE	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Assess vulnerability of crustacean fisheries to climate change	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x								
Goal 6: Continue building the observing system by adding biogeochemical and marine sound sensors, and HFRs																				
Enhance the seafloor observatory near Gray's Reef OA buoy	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Add and maintain comprehensive OA sensor packages at 4 buoys	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Implement a regional ocean sound observing initiative	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Install and operate new HFR east of Port Canaveral, FL	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

Cost Proposal. Summarized costs of the 5-year duration of this effort at the \$4M scenario are in Table 4. Base funding of \$2.5M would support Goals 1 through 4, however operational readiness and uptime of various observations will be significantly impacted at this level. Therefore, additional funding of \$330k to \$580k is allocated to Goals 1 through 4 for the \$4M scenario to address inflation and allow for spares, replacement and repair of assets. Goals 5 and 6 are added with additional funding as indicated in Table 4. All components of this proposal are leveraged, however it is difficult to provide exact dollar values on contributions. Most of the observing assets were purchased with non-IOOS funds, and ongoing maintenance is subsidized by other grants. The same is true of most of the modeling work, i.e. models were initially developed with other funds. Five percent of the SECOORA Executive Director's salary is supported from member dues, as is a portion of her travel. Finally, a number of PIs are working at very subsidized or no cost. The glider observatory and GRNMS buoy operations are supported by GRNMS.

Table 4. Costs by Objective, PI and Institution (\$4M Funding Level) (* Percent of Total Budget)

Obj.	PI/Contractor	Inst.	YR 1	YR 2	YR 3	YR 4	YR 5	TOTAL	%*	
Goal 1	Governance, Outreach & DMAC									
1.1-2	Hernandez (Gov.&Out.)	SECOORA	508,861	533,722	561,998	593,158	623,021	2,820,760		
1.3	Wilcox (DMAC)	Axiom	225,799	232,465	239,332	246,403	253,686	1,197,685		
	Goal 1 Sub-total							4,018,445	20	
Goal 2	Maintain existing core observations									
2.1	High Frequency Radars									
	Shay	UM	165,000	169,956	175,077	180,298	185,706	876,037		
	Voulgaris	USC	124,000	127,720	131,552	135,498	139,563	658,333		
	Savidge	UGA/SkIO	117,277	119,857	122,513	125,248	128,068	612,963		
	Seim	UNCCH	149,717	152,762	155,897	159,126	162,453	779,955		
	Weisberg	USF	167,001	170,638	174,386	178,246	182,224	872,495		
	Sub-total HFR		722,995	740,933	759,425	778,416	798,014	3,799,783	19	
2.2	Moored & Coastal									
	Leonard (Carolinas)	UNCW	365,000	375,950	387,228	398,844	410,810	1,937,831		
	Weisberg (WFS Moor.)	USF	250,000	257,500	265,225	273,182	281,377	1,327,284		
	Luther (WFS Coastal)	USF	55,000	56,650	58,350	60,100	61,904	292,004		
	Sub-total Moored & Coastal		670,000	690,100	710,803	732,126	754,091	3,557,119	18	
	Goal 2 Sub-total							7,356,902	37	
Goal 3	Address geographic gaps in observations									
3.1	Gliders									
	Edwards	UGA/SkIO	76,763	82,111	85,591	85,858	81,099	411,422		
	Zhang	GA Tech	38,529	40,068	41,663	43,433	45,193	208,886		
	Seim	UNCCH	40,748	44,245	45,556	46,930	48,375	225,854		
	He	NCSU	44,731	45,795	46,916	48,095	49,339	234,876		
	Lembke	USC	44,515	45,463	46,470	47,536	48,669	232,653		
	Hernandez	SECOORA	53,320	41,600	33,800	29,000	29,000	186,720		
	Sub-total Gliders		298,606	299,282	299,996	300,852	301,675	1,500,411		
3.2	Sanger (Chas. Harbor)	SCDNR	74,877	73,647	19,972	19,943	19,938	208,377		
	Goal 3 Sub-total		373,483	372,929	319,968	320,795	321,613	1,708,788	9	
Goal 4	Continue delivery of operational models and products									
4.1	He (Regional Model)	NCSU	196,000	201,880	207,936	214,174	220,600	1,040,590		
4.2	Weisberg (WFCOM)	USF	Funding included in Objective 2.2							
4.3	Porter (Beach/Shellfish)	USC	99,999	103,000	106,090	109,273	112,551	530,913		
4.4	Dorton (MWP)	UNCW	35,280	45,098	41,421	0	0	121,799		
	Goal 4 Sub-total		331,279	349,978	355,447	323,447	333,151	1,693,302	8	
Goal 5	Initiate new operational products									
5.1	Corcoran (HAB)	FWC	300,000	309,000	318,270	327,818	337,652	1,592,740		
5.2	Roffer (Eco. Advisory)	ROFFS	100,015	103,015	106,105	109,290	112,567	530,992		
5.3	Vulnerability of Crustaceans									
	Fowler	SCDNR	60,637	63,327	35,826	0	0	159,790		
	Seim	UNCCH	12,194	12,445	40,426	0	0	65,065		
	Rykczewski	USC	4,701	12,090	23,684	0	0	40,475		
	Gandy	FL FWC	22,206	17,416	8,345	0	0	47,967		
	Sub-total of Crustaceans		99,738	105,278	108,281	0	0	313,297		
	Goal 5 Sub-total		499,753	517,293	532,656	437,108	450,219	2,437,029	12	
Goal 6	Enhance the observing system									
6.1	Noakes (GRNMS OA)	UGA	50,000	51,500	53,045	54,636	56,274	265,455		
6.2-3	Weisberg (OA & sound)	USF	250,003	144,125	146,908	149,773	152,724	843,533		
6.2-3	Leonard (OA & sound)	UNCW	151,026	169,853	102,390	85,775	87,868	596,912		
6.3	Locascio (sound)	MML	40,001	63,408	100,923	157,744	45,101	407,177		
6.4	Maul (HFR)	FIT	176,800	133,694	117,105	120,618	124,237	672,454		
	Goal 6 Sub-total		667,830	562,580	520,371	568,546	466,204	2,785,531	14	
	GRAND TOTAL		\$4M	\$4M	\$4M	\$4M	\$4M	\$20M		

Appendix 2: References

- ¹ U.S. IOOS Summit Report: A New Decade for the Integrated Ocean Observing System. (2013, August 1). Retrieved from <http://www.ioos.us/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/U.S.-IOOS-Summit-Report.pdf>
- ² SECOORA User Needs Assessment (2014, October) http://secoora.org/webfm_send/1235
- ³ SECOORA Communications Page, <http://secoora.org/communications>
- ⁴ SECOORA Member and Stakeholder Survey (2014). http://secoora.org/webfm_send/1581
- ⁵ SECOORA User Needs Assessment, page 3, (2014, October). http://secoora.org/webfm_send/1235
- ⁶ Clam Bayou Station, <http://comps.marine.usf.edu/index?view=station&id=CLB>
- ⁷ Castelao, R. (2011). Intrusions of Gulf Stream waters onto the South Atlantic Bight shelf. *J. Geophys. Res. Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(C10).
- ⁸ Chang, D., Zhang, F., & Edwards, C. (2015). Real-Time Guidance of Underwater Gliders Assisted by Predictive Ocean Models. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 32, 562-578.
- ⁹ Oliver, M., Breece, M., Fox, D., Haulsee, D., Kohut, J., Manderson, J., & Savoy, T. (2013). Shrinking the Haystack: Using an AUV in an Integrated Ocean Observatory to Map Atlantic Sturgeon in the Coastal Ocean. *Fisheries*, 38(5), 210-216.
- ¹⁰ Hyun, K. H. & He, R. (2010). Coastal upwelling in the South Atlantic Bight: A revisit of the 2003 cold event using long term observations and model hindcast solutions. *Journal of Marine Systems*, v83, 1-13
- ¹¹ Xue, Z., Zambon, J., Yao, Z., Liu, Y., & He, R. (2015) An integrated ocean circulation, wave, atmosphere, and marine ecosystem prediction system for the South Atlantic Bight and Gulf of Mexico, *Journal of Operational Oceanography*.
- ¹² Booij, N., Ris, R., & Holthuijsen, L. (1999). A third-generation wave model for coastal regions: 1. Model description and validation. *J. Geophys. Res. Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104(C4), 7649-7649.
- ¹³ Skamarock, W.C., Klemp, J.B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D.O., Barker, D.M., Wang, W., Powers, J.G. (2005): A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 2. NCAR Technical Note, NCAR/TN-468+STR.
- ¹⁴ Shchepetkin, A., & McWilliams, J. (2005). The regional oceanic modeling system (ROMS): A split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model. *Ocean Modelling*, 347-404.
- ¹⁵ Zheng, L. & Weisberg, R.H. (2012). Modeling the West Florida Coastal Ocean by Downscaling from the Deep Ocean, Across the Continental Shelf and into the Estuaries, *Ocean Modeling*, 48 (2012), 10-29.
- ¹⁶ Weisberg, R.H., Zheng, L., Liu, Y., Lembke, C., Lenes, J.M. & Walsh, J.J. (2014). Why a red tide was not observed on the West Florida Continental Shelf in 2010. *Harmful Algae*, 38, 119-126.
- ¹⁷ Weisberg, R.H., Zheng, L., Liu, Y., Corcoran, A.A., Lembke, C., Hu, C., Lenes, J.M., and Walsh, J.J., (2015). *Karenia brevis* blooms on the West Florida Shelf: A comparative study of the robust 2012 bloom and the nearly null 2013 event, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, in review.
- ¹⁸ Weisberg, R.H., Zheng, L., and Peebles, E., (2014). Gag grouper larvae pathways on the West Florida Shelf, *Cont. Shelf Res.*
- ¹⁹ Weisberg, R.H, Zheng L., Liu Y., Murawski S., Hu C., & Paul J. (2014). Did Deepwater Horizon Hydrocarbons Transit to the West Florida Continental Shelf? *Deep-Sea Res.*, Part II.
- ²⁰ Weisberg, R.H., Zheng L., Liu Y. & Huang Y. (2015). How Deepwater Horizon Oil Arrived at the Beach, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, in review.
- ²¹ Weisberg, R.H., Barth A., Alvera-Azcárate A., & Zheng L. (2009). A coordinated coastal ocean observing and modeling system for the West Florida Shelf, *Harmful Algae.*, 8, 585-598.
- ²² Weisberg, R.H., Zheng, L., Liu, Y., Corcoran, A.A., Lembke, C., Hu, C., Lenes, J.M., and Walsh, J.J., (2015). *Karenia brevis* blooms on the West Florida Shelf: A comparative study of the robust 2012 bloom and the nearly null 2013 event, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, in review.

-
- ²³ SECOORA supported How's the Beach application, <http://howsthebeach.org>
- ²⁴ Dwight, R., Semenza, J., Baker, D., & Olson, B. (2002). Association of Urban Runoff with Coastal Water Quality in Orange County, California. *Water Environment Research Water Environ Res*, 74(1), 82-90.
- ²⁵ Ragsdale, R., Vowinkel, E., Porter, D., Hamilton, P., Morrison, R., Kohut, Connell, B., Kelsey, H., & Trowbridge, P. (2011). Successful Integration Efforts in Water Quality From the Integrated Ocean Observing System Regional Associations and the National Water Quality Monitoring Network. *Marine Technology Society Journal*, 45(1), 19-28.
- ²⁶ Ragsdale, R., Vowinkel, E., Porter, D., Hamilton, P., Morrison, R., Kohut, Connell, B., Kelsey, H., and Trowbridge, P. (2011). Successful Integration Efforts in Water Quality From the Integrated Ocean Observing System Regional Associations and the National Water Quality Monitoring Network. *Marine Technology Society Journal*, 45(1), 19-28.
- ²⁷ Anderson, D., Cembella, A., & Hallegraeff, G. (2012). Progress in Understanding Harmful Algal Blooms: Paradigm Shifts and New Technologies for Research, Monitoring, and Management. *Annual Review of Marine Science Annu. Rev. Marine. Sci.*, 4, 143-176.
- ²⁸ Landsberg, J., Flewelling, L., & Naar, J. (2009). *Karenia brevis* red tides, brevetoxins in the food web, and impacts on natural resources: Decadal advancements. *Harmful Algae*, 8(4), 598-607.
- ²⁹ Fleming, L., Backer, L., & Baden, D. (2005). Overview of Aerosolized Florida Red Tide Toxins: Exposures and Effects. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(5), 618-620. doi:10.1289/ehp.7501
- ³⁰ Anderson, D., Hoagland, P., Kaoru, Y., & White, A. (2000). Estimated annual economic impacts from harmful algal blooms (HABs) in the United States. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, 1-96.
- ³¹ Weisberg, R., Zheng, L., Liu, Y., Lembke, C., Lenes, J., & Walsh, J. (2014). Why no red tide was observed on the West Florida Continental Shelf in 2010. *Harmful Algae*, 38, 119-126.
- ³² USF College of Marine Science, Optical Oceanography Laboratory, <http://optics.marine.usf.edu/>
- ³³ Collaboration for Prediction of Red tides (CPR), cprweb.marine.usf.edu
- ³⁴ Kim, S., Hollowed, A., Barange, M., & Mackenzie, B. (2014). ICES and PICES Strategies for Coordinating Research on the Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Ecosystems. *Oceanography Oceanog*, 27(4), 160-167.
- ³⁵ Kellison, T. NOAA Southeast Fisheries Science Center (2015, March 16). Personal Communication [Telephone interview].
- ³⁶ Yang, B., Byrne, R., & Wanninkhof, R. (2015). Subannual variability of total alkalinity distributions in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120(5), 3805-3816.
- ³⁷ Mok, H. K. & Gilmore, R. G. (1983). Analysis of sound production in estuarine aggregations of *Pogonias cromis*, *Bairdiella chrysoura*, and *Cynoscion nebulosus* (Sciaenidae). *Bull. Inst. Zool. Acad. Sinica* 22, 157-186.
- ³⁸ Archer, M., Shay L. K., Jaimes B. & Martinez J. (2015). Observations of an ocean front using High Frequency Radar: anticyclonic shear zone instability of the Florida Current In : *Coastal Ocean Observing Systems: Advances and Synthesis*, Elsevier Press, 179-209.
- ³⁹ Anderson, D., Hoagland, P., Kaoru, Y., & White, A. (2000). Estimated annual economic impacts from harmful algal blooms (HABs) in the United States. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, 1-96.